**SRNSF Call for Georgian Cultural and Material Heritage Research**

**Project Proposal**

**Note:** overall length of the project proposalis 15 pages including timetables, diagrams, charts. Page margins: left, right, top and down - minimum 1,5 sm, line spacing – minimum 1, font – Sylfaen, size 10 or 11.

**General information about the project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project acronym |  |
| Project title in Georgian |  |
| Project title in English |  |
| Project duration (months) |  |
| Total budget (GEL) |  |
| Requested funding from the SRNSF(GEL) |  |
| Cofounding (GEL) |  |
| Research location  (country, region, city etc.) |  |
| Principal Investigator  (name, surname) |  |
| Scientific degree |  |
| Position |  |
| Host institution |  |
| Institution’s web-page |  |

**Abstract**

**Note:** recommended number of words – 200

**Key words**

**Note**: 3-5 key words, for instance: archeology, history, conservation

**I. Project’s Scientific Value and Key Personnel**

**1.1. Research topic’s state of arts, uniqueness or advances of the proposed research**

|  |
| --- |
| **Note:** Provide review of the research topic and the state of art, justification of the proposed research project’s novelty, advantage or superiority to the already existing similar researches. Recommended length of the text is 2 pages. Will be evaluated by criterion 1.1. |

**1.2. Concept and issues of the proposed research**

|  |
| --- |
| **Note:** Provide elaborated and argued research concept and issues; formulate research problem/hypothesis, aims and research questions/objectives. Recommended length of the text is 3 pages. Will be evaluated by criterion 1.2. |

**1.3. Research methodology, design and methods**

**Note:** Provide justification of the research methodology and its relevance to the research aim and objectives. Describe the research design – approach/ consistency/specificity; Provide research methods and justify their advantages and limitations. Recommended length of the text is 2 pages. Will be evaluated by criterion 1.3.

**1.4.** **Key personnel**

**Note:** Provide justification on the relevance of key personnel’s expertise (knowledge/experience/skills) with the project goals. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Evaluation is provided together with the Personnel documents (Annex 4 and 5). Will be evaluated by criterion 1.4.

**1.5. Scientific collaboration – institutional, regional, or regional**

**Note:** Describe institutional, regional or international collaboration planned in the project; extent of involvement, roles and contributions of the institutions; Please fill the table below to provide existing infrastructure and intellectual resources needed for the research project. You can add or delete rows if needed. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Will be evaluated by criterion 1.5.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Infrastructure and intellectual resources needed for the research** | | | |
| **№** | **Item** | **Location – institution, department/unit** | |
| **Existing resources** | **Resources to be purchased** |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| n |  |  |  |

**II. Impact**

**2.1. Importance of expected outcomes for wider society at country, regional or international level**

**Note:** Provide justification on importance of expected outcomes and describe the impact it can have on wider society. Recommended

Length of the text is 1 page. Will be evaluated by criterion 2.1.

**2.2. Dissemination and potential of application of research outcomes**

**Note**: Briefly describe how you will attract the attention of wider society and communicate the new knowledge derived from the research. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Will be evaluated by criterion 2.2.

**2.3. Communication of research outcomes to the scientific community**

**Note:** Please fill the table below. Format: article, monography, a book, presentation, lecture, interview etc. You can add or delete rows if needed. Will be evaluated by criterion 2.3.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **№** | **Communication format** | **language** |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |

**2.4. Involvement of young researchers**

**Note:** Provide justification on young scientists involved in the project: describe their role and contribution in the project. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Will be evaluated by criterion 2.4.

**III. Project management and implementation**

**3.1. Project implementation timeline**

**Note:** Provide a brief justification and relevance of objectives, activities, deadlines, task distribution and expected outcomes to the project goals. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Evaluation is provided together with the timeframe (annex 6). Will be evaluated by criterion 3.1.

**3.2. Budget justification**

**Note:** Briefly describe management of financial resources requested from SRNSF. Provide justification of expenditures in line with budget categories and research objectives. Recommended length of the text is 1 page. Evaluation is provided together with the project budget (annex 7), will be evaluated by criterion 3.2.

.