



WOSCAP (Whole of Society Connict Prevention and Peacebuilding)

Dr. Nana Mach Horizon 2020 I NCP workshop

May 30, 2016 Hotel Holiday I



ENHANCING EU PEACEBUILDING CAPABILITIES

WOSCAP PROJECT



- This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653866
- H2020-BES-2014-2015/H2020-BES-2014
- **Duration:** 30 months (06/2015 12/2017)
- Budget: 1,990,114.25 EU

Partners



- The WOSCAP consortium brings together academic institutions and peacebuilding practitioners with substantial track records in working on conflict and peacebuilding issues in- and outside of Europe.
- It consists of ten institutional partners, including five leading academic institutions in Europe and four in case study countries (Mali, Georgia, Yemen, Ukraine), and the Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (GPPAC), a global network of conflict prevention and peacebuilding practitioners.
- Some partners are also a member of the European Peacebuilding Liaison Office (EPLO) network. Moreover, GPPAC member institutions from the case study countries

110 3//////		////	/)	/	1			
	////	////						
///////////////////////////////////////	//////	<u>[]] /</u>	5 11	1				
///////////////////////////////////////	///20	Net	herlands					
///////////////////////////////////////	United Kingdo	om Contract						
///////////////////////////////////////	🦩		Germany		Ukr	aine		
///////////////////////////////////////	////	2				-	~	
///////////////////////////////////////	////	Franc		- 7	17/1			
			1.10	1			1/2	
///////////////////////////////////////	// 5	ipain //	1191	1		Georg	ia 🦯	
///////////////////////////////////////	/////			111	11			
///////////////////////////////////////	///			[]]	///			
///////////////////////////////////////					1.1.1			
///////////////								
					\sim			Q.,
					~	\sim		
						\sim		
							Yemen	
		Mali						\sim
	States and States							
	 And the second se						////	-/
///////////////////////////////////////								//
///////////////////////////////////////								/ /
///////////////////////////////////////								//
	Train	11-						
	1////	////	Ż					
Organisation	Abbreviation	Country			1			
Global Partnership for the Prevention	Abbreviation GPPAC	Country Netherlands			. <i>X</i> .			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)*	GPPAC	Netherlands						
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political								
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science	GPPAC UU LSE	Netherlands Netherlands UK						
of Design of the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE	Netherlands Netherlands						
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany						
A contract of the second contract of the seco	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE	Netherlands Netherlands UK France						
A construction of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain						
A construction of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany						
A contract of the second secon	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen			N			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine			N. N			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF TSU	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen Georgia			N. N			
Organisation Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF TSU	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen Georgia			Ne ne ne			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF TSU USJPB	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen Georgia			Ne ne ne			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF TSU USJPB	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen Georgia			NR THE STREET			
Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)* Utrecht University (scientific coordination) London School of Economics and Political Science Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School Berghof Foundation Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University Institute of World Policy Political Development Forum Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako	GPPAC UU LSE IRENE BF ECP IWP PDF TSU USJPB	Netherlands Netherlands UK France Germany Spain Ukraine Yemen Georgia			N. N			

Partners



Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict (project coordination)	GPPAC (Netherlands)
Utrecht University (scientific coordination) Berghof Foundation	UU (Netherlands) BF (Germany)
Escola Cultura de Pau, Barcelona Autonomous University	ECP (Spain)
Institute for Research and Education on Negotiation, ESSEC Business School	IRENE (France)
Institute of World Policy	IWP (Ukraine)
London School of Economics and Political Science	LSE (UK)
Political Development Forum	PDF (Yemen)
Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University	TSU (Georgia)
Liniversité des Osienses, huridianes et Delitiones de Derselve	

Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako USJPB (Mali)

GPPAC members ir Case study countries International Centre on Conflict and Negotiation, ICCN - Georgia

- West Africa Network for Peacebuilding, WANEP Mali
- <u>Human Rights Information and Training Center</u>, HRITC -Yemen
- Association of Middle East Studies, AMES Ukraine



Why is WOSCAP

ENHANCING EU PEACEBUILDING CAPABILITIES

necessary?
International peacebuilding interventions face two central challenges.

- There is the issue of coordination and synergy in the field, due to an increasing range of national, regional and international actors involved in peacebuilding.
- There is the necessity to ensure interventions are relevant to and owned by local populations, to be more effective in the long term.
- These challenges are inherent to international peacebuilding interventions, and are also pertinent to institutions such as the EU in seeking to improve their policies and instruments.

WOSCAP (Whole of Society Conf Prevention and Peacebuilding)



- is a project aimed at enhancing the capabilities of the EU to implement conflict prevention and peacebuilding interventions through sustainable, comprehensive and innovative civilian means.
- It assesses current capabilities, and identifies gaps, best practices, lessons learned and research priorities.
- Through a community of practice and dialogue forums, it also brings together policymakers, civilian and military practitioners, academic experts and the beneficiaries of EU interventions. It will result in a tailored set of recommendations on the policy priorities and information and communication technologies needed

Figure 1: objectives and activities

GOAL

To enhance the capabilities of the EU for implementing conflict prevention and peacebuilding interventions through sustainable, comprehensive and innovative civilian means.

4 Objectives



Main project objectives



- **Review:** To assess past and ongoing conflict prevention and peacebuilding initiatives of the EU and its partners.
- **Reflect:** To create an evidence base of best practices and lessons learned, to identify capability gaps in current EU and partner engagements, and to elaborate options for change and potential improvements in long-term civilian peacebuilding efforts.
- Recommend: To complement and adjust existing capacities, policies, and initiatives for conflict prevention and peacebuilding, through an inclusive policy-practice dialogue and the development of policy recommendations.
- Innovate: To make a significant contribution to civilian

The six work packages will operationalise the project's objectives, ensuring the effective complementarity of the various



workpackages

ENHANCING EU PEACEBUILDING CAPABILITIES

WP2	WP3
Methodology and theoretical framework Scoping studies Research framework Actionable research plans	Assessment Country studies Desk studies
WP 4	WP 5
Inputs from practice Community of Practice Studies on cross-cutting themes	 Policy engagement Policy recommendations Discussion with stakeholders

WHOLE OF SOCIETY



APPROACH "Pays particular attention to the role of a wide variety of societal actors and their inter-relations in the analysis and implementation of conflict prevention and peacebuilding initiatives. It seeks the representation and participation of the local level in actions to promote peace. It emphasises the importance of inclusivity, comprehensiveness and coherence. It acknowledges the multifaceted nature of conflict and peacebuilding, and the presence of multiple relationships at policy level and on the ground. It seeks to encompass these complex dynamics and the presence of different interfaces through problematizing not only the multi-actor environment, but also the integration of different policies and peacebuilding actions across a broad spectrum of security needs."

Whole of Society Approach

 'Whole of government' and joined-up government – overcome silos, improve co-ordination and integration, promote synergies and make better collective use of resources.

Inclusivity and ownership, which policy and societal actors?

- Role division and means of engagement
- Normative gains? Legitimacy, sustainability,

Theatrical Focus



CLUSTERS

- Multi track diplomacy
- Security sector reform
- governance

Local ownership

Themes

- Multi stakeholder coherence
- Ict
- gender

Questions and Main Foc of the Study



- What are the current EU civilian capabilities in the selected cases of peacebuilding and conflict prevention, and how can these be enhanced in order to make policies more inclusive and sustainable?
- The focus is on the interrelated processes of policy design, policy implementation and policy change, asking: in what ways were EU policies designed, and implemented, and how were policies changed or adapted over time.

Level 2 questions about policy process



WOS

NHANCING EU PEACEBUU DING CAPABULITE

ΛΡ

GOAL of WP 3



Assessment of EU policies

- Four country studies: Georgia, Mali, Ukraine, Yemen
- Desk studies(UU)

Central question



20

Country studies
how has the EU developed its capabilities in the three policy domains and in relation to the four selected themes in the selected countries, and what are the main characteristics of the social and political processes in which these capabilities have evolved over the past one or two decades.

 primarily exploratory and empirical in that it looks for relevant factors (both contextual and internal to the EU), as well processes and patterns of interaction, that provide information about the ways in which the EU deploys, develops, and adapts its capabilities in multiple policy domains and in interaction with other stakeholders

Unit of analysis



21

• EU capability per country = larger unit of analysis

• Selected policies per country = subunit of analysis

• Case study research – importance of context

Levels of analysis



- (a) analysis of the national context and international involvement;
- (b) analysis of the EU presence in the national contexts, which takes into account its politics and policies during different phases of the conflict, and its relations with other national and international stakeholders;
- (c) analysis of selected EU interventions, with a focus on capabilities to act and to coordinate and cooperate.

National context



- As to the conflict: What are the characteristics of the conflict in the country. What are the main parties, issues, background factors, most important changes and dynamics of the conflict?
- As to responses: What has the international involvement in the conflict been over the past decade or so (international organizations, states, non-state actors)?
- As to strategies / options: What are currently the main efforts to resolve the conflict? What are bottlenecks? What are the attitudes and perceptions of different stakeholders about strategies of conflict resolution? E.g. resistance, hesitance.

EU presence



- How has the relation between the country and the EU developed over the past 10 – 20 years? Which policies has the EU implemented in the selected countries in that period?
- What are the most important EU policies with regard to conflict and (human) security in general? What are the most important policies with regard to MTD, SSR, or GOV in the selected countries in the selected periods?
- Which other policies or engagement of the EU (e.g. AA, development aid, diplomacy) are relevant in the given time period?
- (How) Does the EU internally coordinate its policies with regard to each of the countries?

Selected policies



- How is the EU policy designed?
- How is the EU policy implemented?
- What important changes did EU policy undergo?

- Selected case studies:
- <u>Case 1: The Geneva International Discussion (2008 –</u> <u>current) ; Case 2: European Union's Monitoring Mission to</u> <u>Georgia (EUMM); Case 3: Border management; Case 4:</u> <u>Migration</u>

Diverse methods



26

Literature review

Semi structured interviews

Focus groups

Expected results



- An assessment of past and potential civilian conflict prevention and peacebuilding capabilities of the EU, validated and supported by stakeholder engagement and a community of practice.
- A tailored set of recommendations on the policy priorities and information and communication technologies needed for effective civilian conflict prevention, functioning in synergy with military efforts, enhanced by policy engagement and an international dissemination strategy.
- http://www.woscap.eu/
- https://www.facebook.com/woscap.project/?fref=ts
- http://www.woscap.eu/woscap-brochure

Synergy with the results of other similar projects • The EU-CIVCAP project will provide a comprehensive,

- The EU-CIVCAP project will provide a comprehensive, comparative and multidisciplinary analysis of the EU's capabilities in conflict prevention and peacebuilding in order to identify existing shortfalls - http ://www.eu-civcap.net/
- The IECEU (Improving the Effectiveness of Capabilities in EU Conflict Prevention) is project aiming to enhance the conflict prevention capabilities. This project has received funding from the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation HORIZON 2020. The IECEU Consortium (11 participants from 7 different European countries) itself consists of a diverse group of civilian, research and military organizations. The consortium is coordinated by Laurea University of Applied

Thank you for your attention!