

## Call for Applied Research State Grants 2019

### 1. Project evaluation criteria for stage 2:

Criteria and definition	C-	C	C+	B-	B	B+	A-	A	A+	Score-According to A/B/C category
<b>1. Scientific-research project</b>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Minimum 1 Maximum 9
1.1. Actuality of research theme/topic and innovation, formulation of the problem 1.2. Research aims and goals 1.3. Research methodology 1.4. Scientific value and/or potential practical applicability of expected results and dissemination plan										
<b>2. Project's research team</b>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Minimum 1 Maximum 9
2.1. Compliance of Principal Investigator's achievements, qualification and competences with research project 2.2. Compliance of the key personnel's qualification, competences and skills with research topic 2.3. Project's international and local partnership										
<b>3. Project management and implementation</b>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Minimum 1 Maximum 9
3.1. Implementation and compliance of the project realization plan (stages, timeline and methodology of tasks and activities) 3.2. Compliance of the budget with research aims and goals 3.3. Compliance of material, technical and intellectual environment/resources of host / co-participant institution with project's aims and goals 3.4. Project feasibility, risk analysis of project realization and ways to eliminate risks 3.5. Business plan										
Sum of the scores:										Minimum - 3 Maximum - 27

Final evaluation (Expert's/experts group's final evaluation according to the above given three criteria)
<input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C
Final comment on the project (Expert 's/group of experts' final comment according to the above mentioned three criteria):

2. Each of the 1-3 criteria (1 – scientific-research project; 2 – academic component; 3 – project management and feasibility) is evaluated by a 9 score system (1-9), where 1-3 scores correspond to the C category, 4-6 scores correspond to the B category, 7-9 scores correspond to the A category. The maximum total score is 27.

3. The evaluation by scores per criterion can be defined as follows:

Score	Category	Rate	Definition
1	C-	Not applicable	Project does not meet the criteria or it cannot be evaluated due to the lack of information
2	C	Extremely poor	Project does not fully meet the criteria, evaluation is difficult due to the lack of information
3	C+	Poor	Project is inconsistent, it poorly meets the criteria and it is substantially weak
4	B-	Satisfactory	Project meets the general criteria, however it has weaknesses and contains significant amount of inaccuracies
5	B	Average	Project meets the criteria in overall, however its justification is unsatisfactory.
6	B+	Above average	Project meets the overall criteria, however its justification is unsatisfactory
7	A-	Good	Project meets the criteria very well, its justification is satisfactory, however requires some improvements
8	A	Very good	Project meets the criteria very well. It is original and has high scientific value, however, it still requires certain improvements
9	A+	Excellent	Project stands out with high scientific potential. It meets the criteria very well.

4. The project's final evaluation has to be in a compliance with 9 score (1-9) evaluation system in all three criteria. Definition is given as follows:

Score	ABC category
21-27	A
10-20	B
3-9	C

5. The Project must get 15 or more points to be accepted to the third stage. Also, If the project gets C category (1-3 scores) in the first criteria – scientific-research project, it will not be accepted to the third stage of the evaluation.